Poster #	Presenter(s)	Evaluator
rusici #	riesenter(s)	L valuator

Poster Criteria	Maximum Points: Content (40), Display (30), Oral (30) = Total (100)		
CONTENT – 40 points	DISPLAY – 30 points	ORAL – 30 points	
(A) Background / Introduction	(A) Organization: illustrations, fonts,	(A) General Audience Clarity	
(B) Motivation and Rationale	graphs, etc.	(B) Follow 2-3 min Presentation Limit	
(C) Methodology / Project Design	(B) Language Accuracy	(C) Answering Questions	
(D) Results Interpretation	(C) Flow of Data		

Grade Description: 1= Very Poor; 3=Weak; 5=Average; 7=Good; 9=Excellent; 10=Superior

	Category	Comments	Points
	Background, introduction		
	(appropriate for public audience, self-explanatory, explains the importance of the study at hand)		
▮∟│	,		
CONTENT	Motivation, rationale (motivation and its significance clear, objectives clear,		
∥≝∣	interesting topic chosen regarding intended		
Z	population)		
ပ္	Methodology, project design		
	(clear description of methods, stated hypothesis,		
	methods appropriate to objectives)		
	Results interpretation		
	(clear explanation of results with significance to		
	society, clear conclusion)		
	Organization (well organized and visually appealing, interesting use		
	of color and white space, easy to read from distance,		
	may include images of interest)		
_	,		
4	Language accuracy (correct spelling/grammar and avoidance of jargon and		
ᆸ	slang)		
DISPLAY	37		
	Data presentation		
	(data is presented clearly with easy interpretation, may		
	be in form of charts or graphs for better explanation)		
	General audience clarity		
	(clear explanations without technical details, explains		
	less known concepts)		
	Presented findings in a timely and efficient manner		
 	Presented infulligs in a timely and emolent mainler		
ORAL			
	Answering questions (ability to answer clearly and concisely)		
	(ability to allower clearly and concisely)		
		Total points earned	
Ī			